



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,217	08/29/2001	Xiaojiang Zhao	CSPTAL8.001AUS	8889
20995 7	590 01/22/2004		EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			BUTTNER, DAVID J	
2040 MAIN ST FOURTEENT			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA			1712	

DATE MAILED: 01/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

12 miles 19	Application No.	Applicant(s)	-			
Advisory Action	09/943,217	ZHAO ET AL.				
	Examiner	Art Unit				
<u> </u>	David Buttner	1 712				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addres	s			
THE REPLY FILED 09 January 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice a stimely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply	to a			
<u> </u>	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.	ś				
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adviewent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	the final rejection. EFINAL REJECTION. See N	MPEP			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in t	fee. The appropriate extension or (2) a	ion fee under			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	eriod set forth in of the appeal.				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	•				
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);				
(b) ⊠ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simp	olifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .		,				
3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been cons	idered but does NOT p	lace the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were n	iewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo	s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo	will be entered and	an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	,	т от арронаса.				
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-15						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen						
10. Other:		DAVID J. BUTTNER				
		PRIMARY EXAMINER	1			
	$\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$	al Button				

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/943,217

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The "alternating" and "95%" limitations are new issues not present in previous claims. The "95%" appears to be new matter as ">97%" is not basis for >95%. Applicant's appendix B comparing Tan to invention in latest response contains errors. Applicant DOES call for trifluoroacetic acid (see claim 4). To rely on yield improvement data to distinguish between 4part and 3 part catalysts, all other variables must be held constant (eg temperature, species of polyols amounts etc). Increased yield could have been due to any of the other changes.